

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,402	02/25/2002	Jung-In Yoo	P56679	9971	
7	590 05/21/2003				
Robert E. Bushnell Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			PATEL, VIP		
Washington, DC 20005-1202					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No.

Office Action Summary

10/080,402

Yoo et al

Examiner

Vip Patel

Art Unit 2879



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			
	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	patoni tomi adjasanomi. Goo o'i Giri in o'ilan			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 25, 20</u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>6-9</u>	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-5 and 10	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>11-13</u>	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🔀	∄ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	·		
	1. 🔀 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application has been received.		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm				
~	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 💢 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)3	6) Uther:		

Application/Control Number: 10/080,402

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Cozar et al (US 6420054).

Regarding claim 1, Cozar discloses a color selection apparatus comprising a mask (figure 1) having beam guide holes (2), short axis (direction perpendicular to arrows), and long axis (direction of arrows). Cozar also discloses a frame (4 and 5) combined with the mask such that the mask is tensioned in the direction of long axis (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 2, the frame of Cozar comprises a pair of supporting members (5 and 5') and a pair of elastic members (4) fitted to the supporting members.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Application/Control Number: 10/080,402

Art Unit: 2879

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cozar et al (US 6420054) and Kume et al (US 5111107).

Cozar discloses all the limitation of claims 3-5 except the claimed curved supporting members and mask in form of plurality of stripes spaced apart from each other. Note, Cozar already discloses slots that are longitudinally proceeding in the direction of the long axis. The limitations of curved supporting members and mask with stripes are not deemed patentable since the applicant's disclosure fails to show such limitations to solve any problems or to yield any unobvious advantage that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore, such limitations would be a matter of design alternative. Alternatively, Kume discloses a mask with supporting members that are curved and a mask with strips (bridges in between holes). These structure enhance matching shape of a display screen and passing of electron beams through holes. Thus, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide stripe mask with curved supporting member as taught by Kume in the device of Cozar for matching the shape of display screen and obtaining enhanced display.

Art Unit: 2879

As per claim 10, Note that Cozar's color selection apparatus is for a color cathode ray display tube (CRT; see title) that has a panel (flat screen; line 9 of column 1). Line 37 of column 1 indicated that such screen can be curved with phosphor (line 20 of column 1). Further, such display tube has a funnel, deflection unit, a neck, and electron gun.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-9 are allowed. Claimed 6-9 are allowed since the prior art of record does not teach the claimed specific thicknesses of screen in combination with other elements of claim including a mask being tensioned in the long direction.

Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 11-13 are allowable for the reasons provided above.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vip Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-4846. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday during regular working hours. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

VIP PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2879